# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2008 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S166244** B204171 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **J. (I.), IN RE** 

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 25, 2008.

S166284 C054975 Third Appellate District NATIONWIDE ASSET

**SERVICE, INC. v. PRESTON** 

**DUFAUCHARD** 

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2008.

S166900 B207400 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 LOS ANGELES COUNTY

METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & THOMPSON

(RONALD)

Petition ordered withdrawn (civil case)

Pursuant to written request of petitioner the above-entitled petition for review is ordered withdrawn.

S167725 B211430 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 BERGMAN (BRADLEY) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review and application for stay denied

S029551 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JOE

EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 22,

2008. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S062180

PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to December 26, 2008.

S095076

PEOPLE v. PENUNURI (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2008.

S102166

PEOPLE v. SIMON (RICHARD NATHAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kimberly J. Grove's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 27, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 29, 2008. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S130263

**GAY (KENNETH EARL) ON H.C.** 

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Lance E. Winters's representation that he anticipates filing the return to the order to show cause by February 25, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 29, 2008. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

# S140077

WALLACE (KEONE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Cross's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 21, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 21, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S164796 H031237 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SORIA (MARCOS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to November 25, 2008. No further extension of time is contemplated.

# S166725

WELCH (KENNON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of the respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 3, 2008.

S134792

PEOPLE v. HUGHES (MERVIN RAY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Debra S. Sabah Press is hereby appointed to represent appellant Mervin Ray Hughes for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S143867

SWARTZLANDER ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and JEFFREY CHARLES SWARTZLANDER, State Bar No. 122210, must be actually suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation and fitness to practice pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. JEFFREY CHARLES SWARTZLANDER is also placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation and the State Bar

Ethics School requirement recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Granting Motion to Revoke Probation and Order of Inactive Enrollment filed on July 28, 2008. Credit toward the period of actual suspension must be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on July 31, 2008 (Business and Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). It is further ordered that JEFFREY CHARLES SWARTZLANDER comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S166100

# **CLAUSEN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that MARK TODD CLAUSEN, State Bar No. 196721, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed June 23, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

### S166101

#### **BAKER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that SCOTT GREGORY BAKER, State Bar No.187710, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 30 days and until he makes restitution to Toni Glass in the amount of \$1,750 plus 10% interest per annum from March 20, 2007 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Toni Glass, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5), and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on June 6, 2008. Any restitution to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d). Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the

State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S166102

# **COUGHLIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that MARY SHARON COUGHLIN, State Bar No. 104129, be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)